Previous references

G.O no 4623-S-F 30/11/1910 1912-13 (Municipal

no 4421-07-31

Later references.

File No 276-12

Serial Nos 145

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 145

Part B. for August 1913

SUBJECT

Annual maintenance charges of the Karanji tank at Mysore

(1) To the Presdt Mysore city mal Council, no 6131 D 7/4-2-13 Calls for the opinion of the mal-Council as to whether the Karanji tank is of any use to the municipality or to the general public of Mysore & what proportion of the maintenance charges would be borne by the municipality.

(2) Letter no 134 D 13-3-1913 from the Presdt Mysore city mal Council states in reply that the mal Councillors disregard their unwillingness to contribute anything for the maintenance of the tank as it is not of any use to the municipality

(3) to the Presdt Mysore city mal Cl. no 7904 D 7/4-6-13 Observes that the public use the tank [illegible]

G. R. S. 58

GPI—1,000—17-11

- asks the reasons in support of the
Resolution in the matter

(4) from the same Officer, no 1667 8-5-13.
States the reasons in support of the M Council's
original Resolution & request the Govt to accept
them.

(5) G O no 3051-S-D 29-8-13 Sanctions the Chief Engineer's
proposal to keep the feeders of the Kapanji tank in order
at an annual cost of Rs 3257- calculated at Rs 50
per mile for 6½ miles of the channels, the annual
maintenance charges being wholly debited to the
Palace funds.

Odhavaraj
19-9-13

G.R.S. 11] 1912-1913

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mil.)

NOTES

File No 276-12

FILE HEADING

Sanctioning of the Annual Maintenance Charges of the Karanja tank at Mujpur

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

NOTES AND ORDERS

NOTES—First Sheet

GPB—50,000—12-6-11

(2

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

D.P.W. file 129

The D.P.W. file relating to the maintenance of the Karanji Tank at Mysore is sent to the General Secretary. The question for consideration in this case is, why the City Municipality should not be asked to bear a portion of the maintenance charges.

The Secretary may kindly write a note to the Municipal President on the following points.-

(i) Whether the tank is of any use to the Municipality or to the general public of Mysore?

(ii) If it is, what portion of the maintenance charges, which are estimated at Rs.325 per annum, should be borne by the Municipality?

6 2 13 RG

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

B IV
6/2 6/2

2 Drafts submitted

7 2 13 3 Please put up a clean draft

7/2/13

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Rev File No. 276 of 1912

Serial No	
	4 Clean draft submitted Mus 5 8 8 2.13
	5 Draft as per Diwan's orders put up for approval Dy Secy)
	6 Mus as revised Diwan by
2 1	7 Letter no 6131 dated the 4th Feb. 1913 from the President, Mysore City Munic. Council Calls for the opinion of the Munic. Council as to whether the Kukrapa tank is of any use to the Municipality or to the general public of Mysore and as to which proportion of the maintenance charges thereof would be borne by the Municipality.
2	8 Letter no 134 dated the 15th March 1913 from the President, Mysore City Munic. Council States, in reply, that the Munic Councillors expressed their unwillingness to contribute anything for the maintenance of the tank as it is not of any use to the Municipality and submits a copy of the Munic. Council's Resolution in the matter.

Note—Contin. sheet.

5602

(R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 276 of 1912

Serial No.

Submitted for orders. Attention is respectfully invited to the special powers of Govt under Sec 176 of the Munl. Regn.

Mun 53
26/3/13

Mysore city

The Municipality do not propose to Contribute any portion towards the maintenance of the Karanje Tank as the tank is not of use to the Municipality. The tank may not be useful to the Municipality but as a matter of fact, it is useful to the residents & Cattle of portion Mysore city.

By Secy)

28/3/13

Put up a draft stating that it is difficult to see how the tank is not useful to the M when the tax-payers use it largely almost every day in the year and enquiring the reasons in support of the Resolution

Secy
-29/3

3/3

12 Draft Submitted

Mun 53
29.3.13

13 By Secy)

13

31/3/13

Note—Contin. sheet.

G.P.B.—60,000—17-6-12.

5762

(5

(G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Ad File No. 276 of 1912

Serial No.		
	14	Draft for approval.
		2nd Counsellor) Dmu agclar 31/3 Yg
	15	Draft approved by the 2nd Cn. Ag 1/4/13 Ag 2/4 Dmu 4/4
	16	Issue Ag 3/4/13
3	17	Letter no. 7902 dated the 4th April 1913 to the President, Improve Corp Municipal Council Observes that it is difficult to see how the Bank is not useful to the Municipality, when its tax payers use it largely almost every day in the year and requests the Municipal President to state the reasons in support of the Municipal Council's Resolution in the matter.
4	18	Letter no. 166 dated the 5th May '13 from the Municipal President, received through the Dy. Comr., Improve DC. States the reasons in support of the Municipal Council's original Resolution, and requests that it may be accepted by Govt.

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

GPB—83,000—17-6-13.

6985 (5

[R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 276 of 1912

Serial No

2

Submit for orders. A Copy of G.O. Dated 30.5.13 and 29.5.13 is placed on the file for reference to para 11 supra.

22/5/13

Dy Secy)

20 The clerk concerned should Grey this up personally.

Dues 24/5

21. Draft bills submitted as personally instructed by the Secretary.

29/5/13

Dy Secy)

Draft as revised

Dues 29/5

23.5.13 23 Fair draft submitted.

31/5/13

Dy Secy)

2nd circulation)

2/6/13

Dues and Class 2/6

Why should Government refer reports of Sub-Committee to Committee appointed under Section 10 of Act XXIII of 1871, I cannot bring myself to believe it. It is not usual to refer matters to Committees

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GEB—20,000—20-1-13

Serial No

in addition of this function which is now expected as well. It is undoubtedly an ornament. The fact of this city in which it is situated & is a source of pleasure & much as resort to that locality. That is more, in the interests of sanitation, it seems absolutely necessary to keep the tank as full as possible instead of allowing it to convert itself into a series of small stagnant pools which would be the case if the tank fails to receive adequate supply of water during the rains owing to the non-repair of its feeder-channels — for an alternative. It would be within the knowledge of the Committee that there has been considerable amount of wrangling which the municipality has met with. It is not to be expected a private individual to bear half the cost of annual maintenance in perpetuity in the B.P.C. — I have drafted a number reply to the above appeal — & communicate the decision of Govt — to make them responsible for half the annual maintenance charges, the other half being borne by the Govt

Note—Contn sheet.

(8

6785

R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 276 of 1912

Serial No.	
25	Draft order submitted as directed by the Assistt Secty. with reference to paras 14 24 supra Dy Secy) 13/6/13
26	Please put up a fair draft as revised Dewan 18/6
27	Fair draft submitted Dy Secy) 27/6/13 Secretariat) With reference to para 26. Dewan 3/7
29	Draft approved 3/7 Dewan 3/7 Approved Dy Secy
30	Draft may be submitted to the Dewan for approval Dy Secy) 4/7/13 Dewan) Dewan 4/7

3808/47 13

Note—Comin. check.

GPB—60,000—17-6-12.

Kindly see the Enclosed file —

The original arrangement of the drawl was to charge Rs 100 (out of the total cost of Rs. 325) to the Irrigation Cess Fund & the balance (which will be 325 — 100 = 225) to the Taluka depts — The draft now put up for approval states that half of Rs 325 i e Rs 162/8 should be debited to the Municipality & the other half to the State Budget —

I do not know if the fact of Rs 100 being charged to the Irrigation Cess fund came to your notice.

B V Lakshman Rao
4 7 13

Kindly I noticed the circumstances.

The benefit derived by the several parties from the [illegible] as follows :—

The Municipality to a very large extent — as its usefulness for drinking by Municipal people and its repairs be also improved the Sanitation.

Gall Comment, as the supply to the village will become thoroughly pressed.

Palace least use the water [illegible] for pleasures &c. —

Hence the revised suggestions, in case, may share of fall to a certain extent —

Yours
SM

6985

9

R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | 12 File No. 276 of 1912

Serial No

31 As the State Budget cost has to be debited to the Irrigation Loan Fund, may the Chief Engr be unofficially consulted before we issue orders?

Draw yr clan

2nd Councillor

5/7

Yes

7/7 Draw 8/7

32 Forwarded unofficially to the Chief Engineer for remarks

By order

Secretary to Govt

Gen & Rev Dept

Yr no 10 D) 9 7 13

JFJ 10/7

Yr no 108 P W D

10 7 1913

NOTE—Contin sheet

GPB—65 000—1,-6 12.

6985

(C.R.S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 276 of 1912

Serial No

IV 26/7

33 Un-Official No. 107 P.W.D. 22/24 July 1913

The cost of maintenance of 6½ miles of channels at Rs 50 per mile is Rs 325. Out of this sum, Rs 100 will be met from the - - Irrigation Cess Fund Budget, as the wells used for irrigation seem to benefit by the water supply of the tank. The balance may have to be borne by the Palace Department as the water is chiefly used for the polo ground or by the Municipality, according as it may be decided by Government.

For Offg. Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Bangalore,

23rd July 1913.

S.S.

Norm—Comm. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-6-12.

6985 (a

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

PM File No. 276 of 1912

Serial No.

36 File resubmitted with the Chief Engineer's D O opinion with reference to para 3 (1 Nov 28/7 Dy Secy)

28/7 1352(a)

35 Rs 100 per year shall have to be debited to the Irrigation Con Budget according to the opinion of the Chief Engineer & the balance of Rs 225 per year to the Municipality

If approved, the reasons may once more be explained to the PM Council as contained in the present draft- para 2 of the Council order, to reconsider the view already taken, under sec 176 Cl(c).

or the last Sentence of the draft- may be amended suitably & moved after approval by the 2nd Councillor & Division 2nd Councillor)

Draft approved 30/7 Dy Secy 28/7

36 Now that it is known that the C.A. to the Municipality is Rs 100-0-0 and that the J.C. Budget- includes the Municipality & the Irrigation, the question for consideration is how to meet the balance of Rs 225-0-0 It is not fair or reasonable to debit the whole amount to the Irrigation. As the Irrigation also is supposed to be making use of the water for the Municipality etc. I would propose recovering Rs 100-0-0 from there contribution & the balance being Rs 125-0-0 being borne by the

Note—Contin. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Mc 276-12 (13

69 35

DO No. 785/En 1/1th

Bangalore
2nd August 13

37 Mirza M Ismail Esq
Offg Huzur Secy

My dear Sir,

The accompanying file, giving with the D.O. Secretary's note to the Hon'ble Secretary dated 17th October 1912 regarding the adjustment of the annual maintenance charges of the Karanjee Tank

and the channals amounting to Rs 325/ per annum in Mysore was handed to me personally by Mr P Rajhavendra Row on the 17th November 1912, for ascertaining as to why the Mysore City Municipal Council should not also bear the quota of contribution on this account -

As will be seen from the further correspondence on the subject and the Office notes

~~that~~ the Municipal Council & the DPW have been further consulted in the matter -

The Municipal Council are unwilling to contribute anything, as in their opinion the tank is not of any use to the municipality, but this view is not acceptable for the reasons given in the Second Councillors' note dated 5th June -

The Second Councillor proposes an

Jnl 276-12

3646

The Palace,
Bangalore.
11th August 1913.

My dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.letter No.785 dated 2nd August 1913 forwarding a file one file relating to the Annual maintenance of the Karanji Tank and Channels at Mysore, I am directed to say that His Highness considers it only fair that the Palace should bear the whole cost of it, as the tank is of far greater value to the Palace than to either the Municipality or the Gardens situated in the vicinity.

The Annual maintenance charges amounting to Rs.325/- may therefore be kindly debited to the Palace.

I return the file with thanks.

I remain,

Yours sincerely,

Mirza Ismail

M.Visvesvaraya Esq.,C.I.E.,
Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ad File No. 276 of 1912

Serial No.	
	40. Draft order submitted
	41 Why draft as revised may be put up
	42 Why draft submitted
	43 Draft approved by the 2nd Councillor
	44
5	G.O No. 2051 Dated the 29th August 1913. Sanctioning the Chief Engineer's proposal to keep the feeders of the Kavery Canal in order at an annual cost of Rs 325/- Calculated at Rs 50/- per mile for 6½ miles of the channels. The annual maintenance charges being debitable to the Palace Funds

Note—Contd sheet.

1913

(1

G.R.S 26]

File No 276-12

Serial No. 1

Diary No of letter replied to Dis 129

No 6131
mb 276-12
9/14 2/13

General and Revenue Secretariat
(mb:)
9, the 8. 2. 1913

To

The President, Singapore City
Municipal Council.

Date of issue 11/2/13

Signed by MR 9/2

Sir,

The Dy. Com^r of the Singapore District having brought to the notice of the Chief Engineer the urgent necessity for repairing the feeder channels of the Kranji bank so as to restore to it the full supply it used to receive some years ago, the Chief Engineer considers that unless the feeders

over

are

SUBJECT

are kept in order that supply will not be enough to fill the tanks. It is reported that an annual allotment of Rs 325 at Rs 50 per mile for 6½ miles) would be necessary to maintain the feeder channels in good order. The tanks being serviceable to the residents of Mysore City, it is a question why the Municipal Council should not contribute bear a portion of the maintenance charges. I am directed to request that upon with he to good as to place the matter before the Municipal Council and report as to what portion of the maintenance charges of Rs 325 per annum can be borne by the municipality, in case the tanks is of use to the municipality or to the general public of Mysore

I have &c

Draft

W Fredericks

List of enclosures,

upon the two following points. —

(1) Whether the tanks in question are of any use to the Municipality or to the general public of Mysore?

(2) If so, what portion of the maintenance charges should or would be borne by the Municipality?

Dewan 17/2/10

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—26-6-11.

17/2/10

The City Municipal Office, Mysore,
Dated the 13th March *1913.*

From

K.Chandy, Esq., B.A.,

President, City Municipal Council, Mysore

To

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, General & Revenue Depts

Sir,

In reply to your No.6131/Ml.276-12-1 dated 14th February last, enquiring whether the Karanji tank in Mysore is of any use to the Municipality or to the general public and what portion of its maintenance charges would be borne by the Municipality, I have the honour to state that the -- matter was brought before the Council on the 28th February 13. The Councillors expressed their unwillingness to contribute any thing for the maintenance of the tank as it is not of any use to the Municipality as may be observed from a copy of the resolution which I herein enclose for your kind perusal.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K.Chandy

President.

1913.

From

The President, City Muni.
Council, Mysore.

To

The Secy to Govt. of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 13th
Despatched 18th } March 1913
Received
General No. 134
No.
Enclosure No. and

Reporting that the Karanji Tank is not of any use to the municipality.

Enclosure 276-12 (4

Proceedings of an ordinary meeting of the Municipal Councillors for the City of Mysore held in the Ranga Charlu Memorial Hall at 8 A.M. on Friday the 28th February 1913.

PRESENT.

1. K.Chandy, Esq., B.A., (President).
2. H.G.Krishnamachi Rao, Esq., B.A., (Vice President).
3. Doctor V.L.Manker, L.R.C.P. & S., L.F.P.S., (Health Officer).
4. Mahomed Jaffer Saheb, Esq.,
5. V.Tiruvengada Mudaliar, Esq.,
6. Madappa, Esq.,
7. M.C.Rangiengar, Esq.,
8. Narasimhaswami, Esq.,
9. Jahagirdar Madappa, Esq.,
10. A.Subbanna, Esq.,
11. Banumiah, Esq.,
12. K.Sreekantiah, Esq.,
13. Amble Anniah Pandit, Esq.,
14. M.Venkatakrishnayya, Esq.,
15. H.Seetharamiah, Esq.,
16. Rev. H.Gulliford.

ABSENT.

1. R.V.Sundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,B.C.E., (Executive Engineer, Mysore Division)
2. Mahomed Peer, Esq., B.A., (Amildar, Mysore Taluk).
3. M.Anantharajiah, Esq.,
4. N.Setwaji Rao, Esq.,

XVI Letter No.6131/Ml 276-12-1 dated 14th February 1913, from the Secretary to Government enquiring whether the Karanji tank is of any use to the public of Mysore and what portion of -- its maintenance charges would be borne by the Municipality

Resolved that the tank is not of use to the Municipality

(Sd) K Chandy,
President

" C O P Y "

K. Chandy

President

V

G R. S 26]

File No 286-K

Serial No 3

Diary No of letter replied to 5602

No 7904
mb 276-12-
9/6/13

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Rev I)
Dy. the 29. 3. 1913

To

The President, Improvement City,
Municipal Council

Date of issue 4.4.13

Signed by

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 131, dated the 12th March 1913 stating that the Municipal Council at Karachi have expressed their unwillingness to contribute anything for the maintenance of the Karachi Land in the City as it is said of any use to the Municipality, I am

over

SUBJECT

Copy sent 4.4.13

directed to observe that it is difficult to see how the bank is such useful to the Municipality when its loss happens once it happens almost every day in the year. And to request that you will be so good as to state the reasons in support of the Municipal Council's Resolution in the Installment already paid

Last of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GVB—62,000—6-11

No 166

The City Municipal Office, Mysore,
Dated the 5th May 1913

6985

DIARY NO

1912-1913
SECTION wl
FILE No. 276-12
SERIAL No. 470

From

T.G.Lukshmana Rao, Esq., L.C.E.,

President, City Municipal Council, Mysore.

Through the Deputy Commissioner.

K.R.Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, General & Revenue Depts

Sir,

In reply to your No.7904/M1.276-12-3 dated 4th April -- 1913, requesting to state the reasons in support of the Municipal Council's resolution that the Karanji Tank is not of any use to the Municipality, I have the honour to state that the residents of the Mysore City have not been depending on this tank for ~~its~~ their water supply and it is not used for any other purpose. The -- villagers of Kurubarahalli use the water for drinking purposes and although this forms part of the City, the inhabitants of this village do not pay any water rate as no facilities for supplying them with the Cauvery water have been created for their use.

2. The revenue realised from the recovery of water rate in the City is being credited to Government and the Government have undertaken to give the Municipality an efficient water supply. There is no objection to recover water rate from these villagers in case water taps are given them by Government.

3. The Councillors who met on the 28th ultimo expressed the above opinion in support of their original resolution, viz. that the Tank was of no use to the Municipality I request you to be good enough to lay the above reasons for the acceptance of the --

1913.

From

The President, City Muni.
Council, Mysore.

To

The Secy to Govt. of Mysore,
Gen and Rev Depts.

Dated 5th
Despatched 6th } May 1913
Received

General No 166
No.

Enclosure No. ..

Stating the reasons in support of the Council's resolution that the Karanji tank is vested in the municipality.

Forwarded
Assistant Secretary
By Command
Secretary

Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Lukshmanarao

President.

Subject.- Sanctioning of the annual maintenance charges of the feeding channels of the Kavanji tank at Nagapura

G.R.S. 23] 2/7/13

WP B

File No 276-12
Serial No 5

Diary No of letter replied to 6985

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Rb.)

Put up file

Read

Bg , the 6. 8. 1913

Correspondence ending with letter no. 166 dated the 5th May 1913 from the President, Nagapura Gram Panchayat Council, preceded through the Dist. Commr, Bangalore Dist., relative to the sanctioning of the annual maintenance charges of the feeder channels of the Kavanji tank at Nagapura

Date of issue 29 8 13

Signed by Mrs a r Ramanathan

ORDER PASSED No.

orer

SUBJECT

Order thereon 3051-5 ml 276-12 — 929.8.13

The Karanja Tank in the Mysore City has not been receiving adequate supply of water during the last several years. With a view to remedy this defect, the Chief Engineer proposes to keep the feeders in order at an annual recurring Cost of Rs 325/- calculated at Rs 50/- per mile for 6½ miles of the Channels. The proposal is sanctioned, the annual Maintenance Charges being wholly debited to the Palace Funds.

Sd/-
22/8

Sd/- [illegible]
Secretary

To,

The Chief Engr. Mysore requesting he'll kindly file on the subject
" Personal, Mysore City Mpl. Ch., Then
the Dy. Com., Mysore
" Office Assistant Secretary
" Palace Controller
" Comptroller

0171—40,000—8-10-11